

Credit Opinion: National Bank of Fujairah

Global Credit Research - 23 Mar 2015

Fujairah, United Arab Emirates

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	Baa1/P-2
Baseline Credit Assessment	ba1
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	ba1

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Christos Theofilou, CFA/Limassol	357.25.586.586
Olivier Panis/Dubai	9714.237.9533
Nitish Bhojnagarwala/DIFC - Dubai	971.42.37.9536
Sean Marion/London	44.20.7772.5454
Jonathan Parrod/Dubai	357.25.586.586

Key Indicators

NATIONAL BANK OF FUJAIRAH (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]12-14	[2]12-13	[2]12-12	[2]12-11	[3]12-10	Avg.
Total Assets (AED million)	24,586.3	21,458.2	17,544.6	14,913.4	12,916.6	[4]17.5
Total Assets (USD million)	6,693.8	5,842.2	4,776.6	4,060.2	3,516.5	[4]17.5
Tangible Common Equity (AED million)	2,835.2	2,507.0	2,247.1	2,061.0	1,847.0	[4]11.3
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	771.9	682.5	611.8	561.1	502.9	[4]11.3
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	4.4	4.7	7.6	10.8	11.6	[5]7.8
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	12.6	13.6	14.1	14.8	-	[6]13.8
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	20.3	20.7	32.6	44.6	43.5	[5]32.3
Net Interest Margin (%)	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.9	[5]3.0
PPI / Average RWA (%)	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	-	[6]3.1
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.3	[5]1.8
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	38.1	36.9	36.9	39.4	35.6	[5]37.4
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	5.9	8.5	10.1	9.8	10.5	[5]9.0
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	27.2	29.2	21.9	19.7	21.3	[5]23.9
Gross Loans / Total Deposits (%)	92.7	94.1	108.1	113.4	103.0	[5]102.3

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel II; IFRS [3] Basel I; IFRS [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [5] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [6] Basel II & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Moody's assigns Baa1 issuer ratings to National Bank of Fujairah (NBF). These ratings are underpinned by the

bank's overall standalone credit strength (reflected in a standalone baseline credit assessment or BCA of ba1) and by our view of the high likelihood of systemic support if needed.

NBF's standalone BCA reflects its (1) solid capitalization metrics underpinned by stable profitability and (2) solid liquidity and funding metrics despite rapid asset growth. These strengths are moderated by (1) NBF's improving, but still relatively weak, asset quality and (2) limited retail franchise and high balance sheet concentrations.

NBF's Baa1 issuer rating incorporates a high three notches of uplift from the bank's BCA, which reflects Moody's assessment of a high likelihood of UAE government support in the event of need. Moody's view is based on (1) its 40% ownership by the Government of Fujairah and (2) the UAE's strong track record of supporting banks in times of stress.

NBF' S RATINGS ARE SUPPORTED BY A STRONG- MACRO PROFILE

UAE banks are supported by the country's very high economic strength, which stems from high levels of economic wealth and large fiscal and external surpluses derived from the country's sizable hydrocarbon reserves. Other strengths include the UAE's sound policy framework and the strong growth in the UAE's non-oil hydrocarbon sector that is driving economic diversification. The country remains moderately susceptible to event risk, owing primarily to geopolitical tensions in the region and Dubai's open economy coupled with its overall leverage appetite. Our view of UAE's operating environment also incorporates (1) substantial public spending plans, particularly in Abu Dhabi, that will continue to drive both public and private-sector economic growth (2) ongoing recovery in Dubai's more diversified private sector and (3) risks of prolonged oil price declines, which may hurt economic confidence and dampen the country's long term growth prospects. Despite substantive sovereign wealth fund buffers, a marked reduction would likely reduce public spending, weaken economic confidence and ultimately moderate the country's long-term growth prospects. UAE's Aa2 sovereign rating has a stable outlook.

Rating Drivers

- A [strong-] macro profile supports the bank's ratings
- Relatively weak asset quality compounded by a limited retail franchise and high balance sheet concentrations
- Solid capitalization underpinned by stable profitability
- Solid liquidity and funding metrics despite rapid asset growth
- Our assessment of a very high probability of systemic support from the UAE authorities underpins the bank's deposit ratings

Rating Outlook

All ratings assigned to NBF carry a stable outlook

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward pressure on NBF's ratings could develop from a combination of the following: (1) an expansion and diversification of the business in retail as highlighted by our BCA scorecard [Qualitative] adjustment; (2) a material reduction in the single-name borrower and deposit concentrations, as mentioned in the BCA scorecard [Asset Risk and Funding Structure] adjustments; and/or (3) improved asset quality and capitalization metrics.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Downwards pressure on NBF's ratings could develop from: (1) material weakening of franchise leading to a decline in profitability; (2) material weakening of financial fundamentals; or (3) rapid loan growth that would exert pressure on liquidity and asset quality metrics.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

RELATIVELY WEAK ASSET QUALITY COMPOUNDED BY A LIMITED RETAIL FRANCHISE AND HIGH BALANCE SHEET CONCENTRATIONS

Although NBF's asset quality and concentration levels have materially improved since 2011 and are expected to remain on an improving trend, they are still both weaker than global peers with BCAs of ba1.

Established in 1984, National Bank of Fujairah (NBF) is amongst the smallest banks in the UAE holding circa 1% market share in terms of assets, loans and deposits. There is very limited geographical asset diversification as 98% of its funded and unfunded exposure is located in the UAE, with the majority of the balance sheet growth originating in Dubai. As a result, and in line with some other UAE banks, NBF was exposed to troubled Dubai government related entities, causing asset quality to deteriorate rapidly between 2008 and 2010, with the non-performing loan ratio (NPL) peaking at 11.6% in 2010.

A new, stronger management team was put in place in 2009 and refocused NBF towards its core strengths in corporate and commercial banking, particularly in the fast growing and resilient trade finance area. This focus has resulted in high loan growth (albeit from a low base) of 16% CAGR between 2009 and 2014, compared to UAE average of 5% over the same period. Since 2009, NBF has also strengthened its risk management framework through enhanced infrastructure combined with stricter controls and processes.

Driven primarily through a combination of write-offs, restructurings, recoveries and loan growth, the reported NPL ratio has declined materially in recent years to 4.4% in 2014, which compares favorably to UAE expected average of 6% and to the 2.4% median of global banks with a ba1 BCA. Although the bank continues to present some large exposures to Dubai government related parties, we note that the most recent restructuring of the Dubai World Group (DWG)'s debt, which was agreed by a large majority of the creditors in January 2015, is credit positive for UAE banks such as NBF (please see Moody's report "Dubai World's Second Debt Restructuring is Credit Positive for the UAE Banking System and Emirates NBD", published in February 2015). We expect problem loan levels to continue to moderate in line with loan growth and further recoveries.

NBF's rapid growth reduces loan book seasoning and thus leaves asset quality more vulnerable to future pressures. However, the risk is partially mitigated by the fact that bulk of credit growth has been directed towards the resilient trade and manufacturing sectors, which together comprise 62% of gross loans as of year-end 2014 (46% as of year-end 2009), while NBF's exposure to more volatile sectors, such as real estate, has historically remained limited compared to the other UAE banks (8% of gross loans as of year-end 2014).

The improvement in risk management, coupled with the fast growth experienced over recent years has also resulted in a reduction in both asset and deposit concentration levels below UAE average (our estimate is that the banks' exposure top 20 borrowers represent on average around 200% of Tier 1 capital for the UAE rated universe). Nonetheless - compounded by the lack of a granular retail book - these levels are still high relative to global medians. Related party deposits have continued to materially increase, up 14% in 2014, after a 22% increase posted in 2013, and represent now 26% of total customer deposits, which leave the institution more vulnerable to event risks, although they have historically proven stable and the bank is implementing a number of internal caps aimed at reducing such risks. NBF has also posted a significant improvement in the coverage ratio to 121% as of year-end 2014, from 54% as of year-end 2011, well above local average and global peers, which again stems from NBF's commitment to dedicate part of the current profitability to reserves.

SOLID CAPITALIZATION UNDERPINNED BY STABLE PROFITABILITY

We consider that NBF has built up solid capital buffers in recent years, reflecting its stable profitability and retention policies. This places the bank on a solid foundation for future growth.

NBF's income structure benefits from a significant fee and commission contribution of around 25% of operating revenues compared to 21% UAE expected average. This is increasingly driven by the provision of treasury services to its corporate clients and the profitable trade finance focus of the bank, which has supported consistent and healthy net interest margins around 3% over the last four years, above the 2.8% UAE expected average. Besides the success of the cross-selling strategy of NBF in recent years, the bank's focus on productivity and cost control have also contributed to the steady improvement of the cost to income ratio from 41.4% in 2009 down to 38.1% as of year-end 2014, which still remains above the 34% UAE expected average. Additionally, the reduction in cost of risk in line with the aforementioned improvement in asset quality has further supported NBF's profitability as net impairment charges consumed 21% of pre-provision income as of December 2014, compared with 55% as of December 2010.

With consistent profit retention in excess of 60% (in 2014, 32.6% of profit is distributed of which only 50% in cash), NBF generates significant internal capital that, combined with the issuance of AED 500m Tier 1 perpetual notes in 2013, has strengthened its Tier 1 ratio to 14.6% as of year-end 2014, from 13.6% as of year-end 2012. Despite being below UAE expected average of around 16%, Tier 1 capital ratio remains well above the 12% median for global banks with same BCA. Following the additional issuance of AED 500 million Tier 1 capital in March 2015, we expect that this metric will remain stable despite the planned loan growth and also note that the bank's

capitalisation metrics remain resilient under Moody's adverse scenario analysis.

SOLID LIQUIDITY AND FUNDING METRICS DESPITE RAPID ASSET GROWTH

Despite experiencing rapid asset growth since 2009, NBF has gradually improved its liquidity risk profile and developed solid liquidity buffers that are in line with its UAE peers, thanks to the implementation of a conservative liquidity risk management and deposit gathering capabilities. The bank has built various layers of liquidity buffers, such as AED 4.3 billion of cash (17% of total assets) with the UAE central bank, AED 1.9 billion of cash and placements with various banks and high-quality securities investments representing 2% of total assets as of December 2014. Liquid assets therefore increased to a sound 27% of total assets as of December 2014 from 20% in 2011.

Demonstrating the capacity of the bank to attract deposits in excess of the asset growth, the net loans to deposits ratio has decreased from 113% in 2011 to 91% in 2014 and is now in line with local peers. Customer deposits fund 73% of total assets as of year-end 2014, compared with 62% in 2011, 75% of which are term deposits that - when coupled with the short-term nature of its trade financing book - help reduce the sizeable asset-liability maturity mismatch prevalent across all GCC banks.

Nevertheless, as reflected in our BCA scorecard adjustments, the sound funding structure metrics of the bank are mitigated by (1) the large deposit concentration and limited retail franchise (15 branches across the UAE) which limits its ability to diversify its funding sources rapidly (as of December 2014, corporate and commercial banking businesses represent 75% of its net income and 93% of its deposits) and (2) the material off balance-sheet committed liabilities representing 30% of total assets, which could exert pressure on the bank's liquidity in a downturn.

Going forward, even if sources of liquidity are expected to be diversified, loan growth should continue being mainly funded through customer deposits and liquidity metrics should remain stable and within the liquidity risk management framework defined today by the bank.

Notching Considerations

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

NBF's Baa1 issuer rating incorporates a high three notches of uplift from its ba1 standalone BCA. This reflects Moody's assessment of a high likelihood of systemic (government) support in case of need. The rating agency bases this view on (1) the 40% ownership of Government of Fujairah and 15% of other government related parties and (2) the UAE's strong track record of supporting banks in times of stress.

SOURCE OF FACTS AND FIGURES CITED IN THIS REPORT

The system averages quoted in the report are published in the banking system outlook and based on available audited system metrics. The Global medians quoted in the report are as of December 2013 due to unavailability of audited interim financial statements for the complete universe of Moody's rated banks. Unless noted otherwise, data related to system-wide trends is sourced from the central bank. Bank-specific figures originate from the bank's reports and Moody's Banking Financial Metrics.

About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment. When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

National Bank of Fujairah

Macro Factors	
Weighted Macro Profile	Strong -

Financial Profile						
Factor	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk <i>Problem Loans / Gross Loans</i>	5.6%	ba1	↑	b1	Single name concentration	Loan growth
Capital <i>TCE / RWA</i>	12.6%	baa2	← →	baa2	Expected trend	
Profitability <i>Net Income / Tangible Assets</i>	1.9%	a2	← →	a3	Loan loss charge coverage	
Combined Solvency Score		baa2		baa3		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure <i>Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	5.9%	a2	← →	baa2	Term structure	Deposit quality
Liquid Resources <i>Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	27.2%	baa2	← →	baa2	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		a3		baa2		

Financial Profile

baa3

Qualitative Adjustments

Adjustment

Business Diversification
Opacity and Complexity
Corporate Behavior

-1
0
0

Total Qualitative Adjustments

-1

Sovereign or Affiliate constraint

Aa2

Scorecard Calculated BCA range

baa3 - ba2

Assigned BCA

ba1

Affiliate Support notching

0

Adjusted BCA

ba1

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
Deposits	0	0	ba1	3	Baa1	Baa1

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.